

✓
Thanks

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/757 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date :

29 FEB 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 963 OF 2012.

(Sub :- Promotion)

- 1 Shri. Iresh G. Chappalwar,
R/at. 306 Disha Residence, Salaiwada, Sawantwadi-416 510.

....APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

- 1 The State of Maharashtra through
The Additional Chief Secretary,
Revenue & Forest Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-400 032.
- 2 The Divisional Commissioner,
Konkan Division, Konkan Bhavan,
C.B.D. Belapur, Navi Mumbai-614.
- 3 Shri Vasudeo B. Pawar, R/o. Rayce
Galaxy, Kalyan, Dist. Thane.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **25th day of February, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri. K.B. Bhise, P.O., for the Respondents No. 1 & 2.
Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**
HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).

DATE : **25.02.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed/ Order Copy Over Leaf.

Anand
29/2/2016

**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

of 20

CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Tribunal's orders

25.02.2016

O.A 963/2012

Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 & 2.

The final seniority list of 10.2.2016 issued by the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Navi Mumbai, is taken on record. Shri Bandiwadekar submits that the Applicants are satisfied with their placement in the said seniority list and therefore, they do not want to continue to prosecute this O.A and want to withdraw the same with a request that necessary direction be given to the Respondents no 1 & 2 with regard to grant of deemed date of promotion and the same be disposed of within a time frame.

Learned P.O in effect submits that no specific time frame be fixed for considering the representation for grant of deemed date of promotion.

In view of the above, O.A is allowed to be withdrawn and is as such disposed of as not pressed with no order as to costs. It is directed that if the Applicants make an application for grant of deemed date of promotion within one week from today, the Respondents no 1 & 2 shall decide the same as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the representation and communicate the decision thereon to the Applicants.

3.

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Aku

TRUE COPY
24/2/2016